arXiv:1409.1826v2 [math.AG] 11 Feb 2016

DIFFERENTIAL FORMS AND QUADRICS OF THE CANONICAL IMAGE

LUCA RIZZI AND FRANCESCO ZUCCONI

Abstract. We extend some of the results of [PZ] and we prove a criterion for a family $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ of n-dimensional varieties of general type and with Albanese morphism of degree 1 to have birational fibers. We do not assume that the canonical map of the general fiber is a morphism nor that the canonical linear system has no fixed components. We also prove some instances of the generic Torelli theorem under the assumption, natural in this context, that the fibers are minimal and their minimal model is unique. It is trivial to construct counterexamples to generic Torelli without such minimality assumptions.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. General theory 1
1.2. Torelli-type problems 3
1.3. Acknowledgment 5
2. The adjoint theory 5
2.1. The Adjoint Theorem 5
2.2. An inverse of the Adjoint Theorem 11
3. An infinitesimal Torelli-type theorem 14
4. Families with birational fibers 17
4.1. Families of morphisms 17
4.2. Families with liftability conditions 19
4.3. The theorem 20
4.4. Examples 21
References 22

1. Introduction

Given an $n \times n$ matrix $T \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$, there always exists its adjoint matrix, $T^\vee$ such that by row-column product we obtain $T \cdot T^\vee = \det(T) I_n$, where $I_n \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})$ is the identity matrix. In this paper we consider the above construction in the context of locally free sheaves.

1.1. **General theory.** Let $\xi \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be an extension class associated to the following exact sequence of locally free sheaves over an m-dimensional smooth variety X:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F} \to 0. \tag{1.1}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C34, 14D07, 14E99, 14J10, 14J40.

Key words and phrases. Extension class of a vector bundle, torsion freeness, infinitesimal Torelli problem, canonical map, holomorphic forms, Albanese variety, families of varieties, generic Torelli problem.

Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is of rank n and that the kernel of the connecting homomorphism $\partial_\xi\colon H^0(X,\mathcal{F})\to H^1(X,\mathcal{O}_X)$ has dimension $\geq n+1$. Take an $n+1$-dimensional subspace $W\subset \operatorname{Ker}\partial_\xi$ and a basis $\mathcal{B}=\{\eta_1,\dots,\eta_{n+1}\}$. By choosing a lifting $s_i\in H^0(X,\mathcal{E})$ of η_i, where $i=1,\dots,n+1$, we have a top form $\Omega\in H^0(X,\det\mathcal{E})$ from the element $s_1\wedge\ldots\wedge s_{n+1}\in\bigwedge^{n+1}H^0(X,\mathcal{E})$. Since $\det\mathcal{E}=\det\mathcal{F}$ we actually obtain from Ω a top form ω of $\mathcal{F}$, which depends on the chosen liftings and on $\mathcal{B}$. We call such an $\omega\in H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})$ an *adjoint form* of $\xi,W,\mathcal{B}$. Indeed consider the $n+1$ top forms $\omega_1,\dots,\omega_{n+1}\in H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})$ where ω_i is obtained by the element $\eta_1\wedge\ldots\wedge\widehat{\eta_i}\wedge\ldots\wedge\eta_{n+1}\in\bigwedge^n H^0(X,\mathcal{F})$. It is easily seen that the subscheme of X where ω vanishes is locally given by the vanishing of the determinant of a suitable $(n+1)\times(n+1)$ matrix T and the local expressions of ω_i, $i=1,\dots,n+1$, give some entries of $T^\vee$. This idea to relate extension classes to adjoint forms was first introduced in [CP] for the case of smooth curves and later the theory was extended to any smooth algebraic variety; see: [PZ]. Since then it has been fruitfully applied in [Ra], [PR], [CNP], [G-A1], [G-A2] and [BGN].

In this paper we go deeper along the direction indicated in [PZ].

To the $n+1$-dimensional subspace $W\subset\operatorname{Ker}\partial_\xi$ taken above, we associate D_W and Z_W which are respectively the fixed part and the base loci of the sublinear system given by $\lambda^nW\subset H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})$, where $\lambda^n\colon\bigwedge^n H^0(X,\mathcal{F})\to H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})$ is the natural homomorphism obtained by the wedge product. We prove:

Theorem [A]. *Let X be an m-dimensional compact complex smooth variety. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a rank n locally free sheaf and let $\xi\in\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the class of the sequence (1.1). Let ω be an adjoint form associated to a subspace $W\subset H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})$. If $\omega\in\lambda^nW$ then $\xi\in\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{O}_X)\to\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}(-D_W),\mathcal{O}_X))$. Viceversa. Assume $\xi\in\operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{O}_X)\to\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}(-D_W),\mathcal{O}_X))$. If $h^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X(D_W))=1$, then $\omega\in\lambda^nW$.*

See Theorem 2.1.7 and Theorem 2.2.1. The first part of the above theorem, but under the assumption $m=n$, is in [PZ, Theorem 1.5.1].

By abuse of notation we denote by $|\det\mathcal{F}|$ the linear system $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F}))$ and we denote by $D_{\det\mathcal{F}}$ the fixed part of $|\det\mathcal{F}|$. We set $|\det\mathcal{F}|=D_{\det\mathcal{F}}+|M_{\det\mathcal{F}}|$. We prove a relation between liftability of adjoint forms and quadrics vanishing on the image of the map $\phi_{|M_{\det\mathcal{F}}|}\colon X\dashrightarrow\mathbb{P}(H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})^\vee)$ associated to $|\det\mathcal{F}|$. We recall that for a top form $\omega\in H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ to be liftable to an n-form of $\mathcal{E}$ is equivalent to have that ω belongs to the kernel of the connecting homomorphism

$$\partial^n_\xi\colon H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})\to H^1(X,\bigwedge^{n-1}\mathcal{F})$$

of the short exact sequence obtained by the wedge of the sequence (1.1); see: subsection 2.1.

Denote by $\lambda^nH^0(X,\mathcal{F})$ the image of $\bigwedge^n H^0(X,\mathcal{F})$ inside $H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})$ and consider the linear system $\mathbb{P}(\lambda^nH^0(X,\mathcal{F}))$; denote by $D_\mathcal{F}$ its fixed component. Note that $D_{\det\mathcal{F}}<D_\mathcal{F}$, but in general $D_{\det\mathcal{F}}\neq D_\mathcal{F}$. We say that ξ is a *deformation supported on $D_\mathcal{F}$* if it belongs to the kernel of $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{O}_X)\to\operatorname{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}(-D_\mathcal{F}),\mathcal{O}_X)$.

Definition 1.1.1. An *adjoint quadric* for ω is a quadric in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})^\vee)$ of the form

$$\omega^2=\sum L_i\cdot\omega_i,$$

where ω is a ξ-adjoint of $W\subset H^0(X,\mathcal{F})$, the ω_i's are as above and $L_i\in H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})$, $i=1,\dots,n+1$.

We point out that adjoint quadrics have rank less then or equal to $2n+3$. We prove:

Theorem [B]. *Let X be an m-dimensional smooth variety. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally free sheaf of rank n such that $h^0(X,\mathcal{F}) \geq n+1$ and let $\xi \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{O}_X)$. Assume that $\phi_{|M_{\det \mathcal{F}|}}\colon X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})^\vee)$ is a non trivial rational map. If ξ satisfies $\partial_\xi = 0$ and $\partial_\xi^n = 0$, then ξ is supported on $D_{\mathcal{F}}$, provided that there are no ω-adjoint quadrics containing the schematic image $\phi_{|M_{\det \mathcal{F}|}}(X)$, where ω is a generic adjoint form. In particular the claim holds if there are no quadrics of rank less then or equal to $2n+3$ through the image.*

See Corollary 3.0.5. Note that if $D_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ then Theorem B gives a criterion for the vanishing of ξ. Theorem B applies directly if $Y := \phi_{|M_{\det \mathcal{F}|}}(X)$ is a hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})^\vee)$ of degree > 2; see Corollary 3.0.6 for a more general claim.

Theorem A and Theorem B give a general criterion for a family $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ to have birational fibers, if the condition on the nature of adjoint quadrics given in Theorem B is satisfied; see: 4.3.2. In this paper a *family of relative dimension n* is a smooth proper surjective morphism of smooth varieties $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ where any fiber $X_y := \pi^{-1}(y)$ is a manifold of complex dimension n and B is a connected analytic variety. We say that $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ satisfies *extremal liftability conditions over B* if

(i) $H^0(\mathcal{X},\Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_b,\Omega^1_{X_b})$;
(ii) $H^0(\mathcal{X},\Omega^n_{\mathcal{X}}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_b,\Omega^n_{X_b})$

where the notation "$\twoheadrightarrow$"means that the maps are surjective. The families $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ which satisfy extremal liftability conditions and such that $H^0(X_b,\Omega^1_{X_b}) \neq 0$, that is with irregular fibers, have the advantage that all the fibers have the same Albanese variety. Now by a result called *the Volumetric theorem*, see: [PZ, Theorem 1.5.3], cf. Theorem 4.3.1, we obtain the main application of our general theory that we present here:

Theorem [C]. *Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a family of n-dimensional irregular varieties which satisfies extremal liftability conditions. Assume that for every fiber X the Albanese map of X has degree 1 and that there are no adjoint quadrics containing the canonical image of X. Then the fibers of $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ are birational. In particular the claim holds if there are no quadrics of rank less then or equal to $2n+3$ passing through the canonical image of X.*

See: Theorem 4.3.2 for a slightly more general statement.

1.2. **Torelli-type problems.** As a partial motivation to understand the above general theory and in particular Theorem C, we point out the reader that if $\mathcal{F}$ is the cotangent sheaf Ω^1_X of X, it gives, in some cases, an answer to the generic Torelli problem and to the infinitesimal Torelli problem and, more importantly, that *there are counterexamples* to both generic and infinitesimal Torelli theorem if the above condition on the quadrics through the canonical image is removed. The *global Torelli problem* asks whether two compact Kähler manifolds of dimension n, X_1, X_2, with an isomorphism $\psi : H^n(X_1,\mathbb{Z}) \to H^n(X_2,\mathbb{Z})$ preserving the cup product pairing and with the same Hodge decomposition are biholomorphic. As references for the Torelli problems we quote the following books: [Vo1], [Vo2], [CMP], see also [Re2]. Here we need to recall only very few basic notions. Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a family. Fix a point $0 \in B$. We can interpret $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ as a deformation of complex structures on $X := X_0$. Indeed by Ehresmann's theorem, after possibly shrinking B, we have an isomorphism between $H^k(X_y,\mathbb{C})$

and $V := H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$, for every $y \in B$. Furthermore, $\dim F^pH^k(X_y, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} F^pH^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = b^{p,k}$, where $F^pH^k(X_y, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{r \geq p} H^{r,k-r}(X_y)$. The *period map*

$$\mathcal{P}^{p,k} \colon B \to \mathbb{G} = \mathrm{Grass}(b^{p,k}, V)$$

(cf. [GS]) is the map which to $y \in B$ associates the subspace $F^pH^k(X_y, \mathbb{C})$ of V. In [Gri1], [Gri2], P. Griffiths proved that $\mathcal{P}^{p,k}$ is holomorphic and that the image of the differential $d\mathcal{P}^{p,k} : T_{B,0} \to T_{G,F^pH^k(X,\mathbb{C})}$ is actually contained in

$$\mathrm{Hom}(F^pH^k(X, \mathbb{C}), F^{p-1}H^k(X, \mathbb{C})/F^pH^k(X, \mathbb{C})).$$

Setting $q = k - p$ and using the canonical isomorphism

$$F^pH^k(X, \mathbb{C})/F^{p+1}H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^q(X, \Omega^p_X)$$

he showed that $d\mathcal{P}^{p,k}$ is the composition of the Kodaira-Spencer map $T_{B,0} \to H^1(X, \Theta_X)$ with the map given by the cup product:

$$d\pi^q_p \colon H^1(X, \Theta_X) \to \mathrm{Hom}(H^q(X, \Omega^p_X), H^{q+1}(X, \Omega^{p-1}_X))$$

where Θ_X is the tangent sheaf of X. Following [Do] we recall that the *local Torelli problem* asks under which hypotheses the period map of $\pi \colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ is an immersion and the *generic Torelli problem* asks whether the period map is generically injective where B is a connected analytic variety; the *n-infinitesimal Torelli problem* asks if the differential of the period map $\mathcal{P}^{n,n}$ for the local Kuranishi family $\pi \colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ ([Ku], cf. [KM]) is injective. We say that the *n-infinitesimal Torelli theorem holds for X* if $d\pi^0_n$ is injective.

In the case of smooth curves the answer to the Torelli problems is well-known; see: [To], [An], [We], [OS].

Concerning Torelli problems in higher dimensions here we can recall only that some positive answers were obtained: [Gr1], [Gr2], [Gr3], [Fl], [Co], [Re1], [Pe] and, with a slightly different point of view, [Do]. See also [Re2], [Vo1], [Vo2].

On the other hand, surfaces X of general type with $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) = H^0(X, \Omega^2_X) = 0$ are known examples of failure for the injectivity of the period map; see: [Ca1]).

More recently, the problem has been studied in [BC] where the authors construct families such that $d\pi^0_2$ is not injective and the canonical sheaf is quasi very ample, that is the canonical map is a birational morphism and a local embedding on the complement of a finite set. In [GZ] it is shown that for any natural number $N \geq 5$ there exists a generically smooth irreducible $(N + 9)$-dimensional component $\mathcal{M}_N$ of a moduli space of algebraic surfaces such that for a general element $[X]$ of $\mathcal{M}_N$, the canonical sheaf is very ample and $d\pi^0_2$ has kernel of dimension at least 1. Hence the problem about good hypotheses to obtain the infinitesimal Torelli theorem is still quite open. Note that the counterexamples studied in [BC] and in [GZ] are given by fibered surfaces.

On the other hand by [OS], see also: [Cod], it is easy to see that the n-th symmetric product of a hyperelliptic curve gives a counterexample to the infinitesimal Torelli theorem for non fibered varieties with Albanese morphism of degree 1 onto the image. Note that if X is the 2-nd symmetric product of a hyperelliptic curve of genus 3, $D_{\Omega^1_X}$ exists, it is a rational -2 curve; see: [CCM, Proposition 3.17, (ii)] and, as our theory indicates, the infinitesimal deformations which kill the holomorphic forms are supported on $D_{\Omega^1_X}$.

It is here important to notice that the n-th symmetric product of hyperelliptic curves have canonical image contained in certain quadrics of rank less then or equal to $2n+3$. Indeed Theorem B confirms that for the Torelli problem $2n+3$ is a critical threshold for the rank of quadrics containing the canonical image. Our theory gives some positive answers to the infinitesimal Torelli theorem. In fact we easily deduce by Theorem B that it holds if Ω^1_X is generated by global sections, if we also assume that X has irregularity $\geq n+1$ and there are no quadrics of rank less then or equal to $2n+3$ containing the canonical image; see: Corollary 3.0.7. We point out the reader that [Pe] and [LPW] contain the same claim but under quite different hypotheses.

By the above counterexamples the hypotheses of Theorem C concerning the rank of the quadrics through the canonical image appear to be crucial to show the generic Torelli theorem. In dimension ≥ 3 non-uniqueness of the minimal model is another possible obstruction.

Hence in Corollary 4.3.3 we obtain that the generic Torelli theorem holds for families which satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem C and such that the general fiber is an n-dimensional irregular minimal variety with unique minimal model. Even in the case of surfaces there are obvious counterexamples to the above claim if we do not assume minimality. It is sufficient to consider the family $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ where B is a smooth curve inside a smooth surface S and X_b is the surface obtained by the blow-up of S at the point $b \in B$. So the hypotheses of our Corollary 4.3.3 appear to be quite optimal to have a proof of the generic Torelli theorem.

1.3. **Acknowledgment.** This research is supported by MIUR funds, PRIN project *Geometria delle varietà algebriche* (2010), coordinator A. Verra.

The authors would like to thank Miguel Ángel Barja for very useful conversations on this topic.

2. The adjoint theory

We recall and generalize some of the results of [PZ].

2.1. **The Adjoint Theorem.** Let X be a compact complex smooth variety of dimension m and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally free sheaf of rank n. Fix an extension class $\xi \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ associated to the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \stackrel{d\epsilon}{\to} \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\rho_1}{\to} \mathcal{F} \to 0. \tag{2.1}$$

The Koszul resolution associated to the section $d\epsilon \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \stackrel{d\epsilon}{\to} \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\wedge d\epsilon}{\to} \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\wedge d\epsilon}{\to} \cdots \stackrel{\wedge d\epsilon}{\to} \det \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\wedge d\epsilon}{\to} 0$$

splits into $n+1$ short exact sequences,

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \stackrel{d\epsilon}{\to} \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\rho_1}{\to} \mathcal{F} \to 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{F} \stackrel{d\epsilon}{\to} \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\rho_2}{\to} \bigwedge^2 \mathcal{F} \to 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\cdots$$

$$0 \to \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F} \stackrel{d\epsilon}{\to} \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\rho_n}{\to} \det \mathcal{F} \to 0, \tag{2.4}$$

$$0 \to \det \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{d\epsilon} \det \mathcal{E} \to 0 \to 0, \tag{2.5}$$

each corresponding to ξ via the natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(\bigwedge^i \mathcal{F}, \bigwedge^{i-1} \mathcal{F})$$

where $i = 0, \dots, n$. If we still denote by ξ the element corresponding to ξ by the isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F}^\vee) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee)$, then the coboundary homomorphisms

$$\partial^i_\xi \colon H^0(X, \bigwedge^i \mathcal{F}) \to H^1(X, \bigwedge^{i-1} \mathcal{F})$$

are computed by the cup product with ξ followed by contraction.

Denote by $H^n_{d\epsilon}$ the isomorphism inverse of (2.5) and by Λ^{n+1} the natural map

$$\Lambda^{n+1} \colon \bigwedge^{n+1} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \to H^0(X, \det \mathcal{E}). \tag{2.6}$$

The composition of these homomorphisms defines

$$\Lambda := H^n_{d\epsilon} \circ \Lambda^{n+1} \colon \bigwedge^{n+1} H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \to H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}). \tag{2.7}$$

Let $W \subset \mathrm{Ker}\,(\partial^1_\xi) \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ be a vector subspace of dimension $n+1$ and let $\mathcal{B} := \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n+1}\}$ be a basis of W. By definition we can take liftings $s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ such that $\rho_1(s_i) = \eta_i$. If we consider the natural map

$$\lambda^n \colon \bigwedge^n H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \to H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}),$$

we can define the subspace $\lambda^n W \subset H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F})$ generated by

$$\omega_i := \lambda^n(\eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\eta_i} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{n+1})$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$.

Definition 2.1.1. The section

$$\omega_{\xi, W, \mathcal{B}} := \Lambda(s_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge s_{n+1}) \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}).$$

is called *an adjoint form* of $\xi, W, \mathcal{B}$.

Definition 2.1.2. The class

$$[\omega_{\xi, W, \mathcal{B}}] \in \frac{H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F})}{\lambda^n W}$$

is called *an adjoint image* of W by ξ.

Remark 2.1.3. *The class $[\omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}}]$ depends on ξ, W and $\mathcal{B}$ only. The form $\omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}}$ depends also on the choice of the liftings $s_1, \dots, s_{n+1}$.*

Remark 2.1.4. *If we consider another basis $\mathcal{B}' = \{\eta'_1, \dots, \eta'_{n+1}\}$ of W, then $[\omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}}] = k[\omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}'}]$ where k is the determinant of the matrix of the change of basis. In particular $[\omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}}] = 0$ if and only if $[\omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}'}] = 0$.*

Remark 2.1.5. *If $[\omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}}] = 0$ then we can find liftings $s_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$, $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, such that $\Lambda^{n+1}(s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge s_{n+1}) = 0$ in $H^0(X, \det \mathcal{E})$. In particular with this choice we have $\omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}} = 0$ in $H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. By hypothesis there exist $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i \cdot \lambda^n(\eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\eta_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_{n+1}). \tag{2.8}$$

We can define a new lifting for the element η_i:

$$\tilde{s_i} := s_i + (-1)^{n-i} a_i \cdot d\epsilon.$$

Now it is a trivial computation to show that $\Lambda^{n+1}(\tilde{s_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{s}_{n+1}) = 0$. □

Definition 2.1.6. If $\lambda^n W$ is nontrivial we denote by $|\lambda^n W| \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}))$ the induced sublinear system. We call D_W the fixed divisor of this linear system and Z_W the base locus of its moving part $|M_W| \subset \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}(-D_W)))$.

From the natural map $\mathcal{F}(-D_W) \to \mathcal{F}$ we have a homomorphism in cohomology

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee) \xrightarrow{\rho} H^1(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee(D_W));$$

we call $\xi_{D_W} = \rho(\xi)$. By obvious identifications the natural map

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\det \mathcal{F}, \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F}) \to \mathrm{Ext}^1(\det \mathcal{F}(-D_W), \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F})$$

gives an extension $\mathcal{E}^{(n)}$ and a commutative diagram:

(2.9)


0
0
$0 \to \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{E}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \det \mathcal{F}(-D_W) \to 0$
ψ
$\cdot D_W$
$0 \to \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F} \to \bigwedge^{n} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\rho_n} \det \mathcal{F} \to 0$
$\det \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{D_W} = \det \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{D_W}$
0
0.

We prove a slightly more general version of [PZ, Theorem 1.5.1]. Also the proof is slightly different because it does not use the Grothendieck duality.

Theorem 2.1.7 (Adjoint Theorem)**.** *Let X be a compact m-dimensional complex smooth variety. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a rank n locally free sheaf on X and $\xi \in H^1(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee)$ the extension class of the exact sequence (2.1). Let $W \subset \mathrm{Ker}\,(\partial^1_\xi) \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ and $[\omega]$ one of its adjoint images. If $[\omega] = 0$ then $\xi \in \mathrm{Ker}\,(H^1(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee(D_W)))$.*

Proof. By remark 2.1.4, the vanishing of $[\omega]$ does not depend on the choice of a particular basis of W. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n+1}\}$ be a basis of W.

By hypothesis, $\omega \in \lambda^n W$, hence by remark 2.1.5 we can choose liftings $s_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ of η_i with $\Lambda^{n+1}(s_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge s_{n+1}) = 0$. Consider

$$s_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{s_i} \wedge \ldots \wedge s_{n+1} \in \bigwedge^n H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$$

and define Ω_i its image in $H^0(X, \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E})$ through the natural map. By construction $\rho_n(\Omega_i) = \omega_i$, where we remind the reader that $\omega_i := \lambda^n(\eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\eta_i} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{n+1})$. Since D_W is the fixed divisor of the linear system $|\lambda^n W|$ and the sections ω_i generate this linear system, then the ω_i are in the image of

$$\det \mathcal{F}(-D_W) \xrightarrow{\cdot D_W} \det \mathcal{F},$$

and so we can find sections $\tilde{\omega}_i \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}(-D_W))$ such that

$$d \cdot \tilde{\omega}_i = \omega_i, \tag{2.10}$$

where d is a global section of $\mathcal{O}_X(D_W)$ with $(d) = D_W$. Hence, using the commutativity of (2.9), we can find liftings $\tilde{\Omega}_i \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{(n)})$ of the sections Ω_i, $i = 1, \dots, n+1$.

The evaluation map

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} \mathcal{E}^{(n)}$$

given by the global sections $\tilde{\Omega}_i$, composed with the map α of (2.9), induces a map μ which fits into the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} & & & & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_X & = & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_X & & \\ & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{\mu}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}^{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \det \mathcal{F}(-D_W) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

The morphism μ is given by the multiplication by $\tilde{\omega}_i$ on the i-th component. Consider the sheaf $\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\mu}$. Locally, on an open subset U, we can write

$$s_{i|U} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j^i \cdot \sigma_j + c^i \cdot d\epsilon, \tag{2.11}$$

where $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n, d\epsilon)$ is a family of local generators of $\mathcal{E}$. By $\Lambda^{n+1}(s_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge s_{n+1}) = 0$ we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} b_1^2 & \dots & b_n^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^{n+1} & \dots & b_n^{n+1} \end{vmatrix} c^1 + \cdots + (-1)^n \begin{vmatrix} b_1^1 & \dots & b_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^n & \dots & b_n^n \end{vmatrix} c^{n+1} = 0.$$

Obviously also

$$\begin{vmatrix} b_1^2 & \dots & b_n^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^{n+1} & \dots & b_n^{n+1} \end{vmatrix} b_j^1 + \cdots + (-1)^n \begin{vmatrix} b_1^1 & \dots & b_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^n & \dots & b_n^n \end{vmatrix} b_j^{n+1} = 0$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, where it is easy to see that

$$\begin{vmatrix} b_1^2 & \ldots & b_n^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^{n+1} & \ldots & b_n^{n+1} \end{vmatrix}, \ldots, \begin{vmatrix} b_1^1 & \ldots & b_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^n & \ldots & b_n^n \end{vmatrix}$$

are respectively the local expressions of the sections $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n+1}$. Now let d_U be a local equation of $d \in H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_W))$. By (2.10) we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} b_1^2 & \ldots & b_n^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^{n+1} & \ldots & b_n^{n+1} \end{vmatrix} = d_U \cdot f_1,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} b_1^1 & \ldots & b_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^n & \ldots & b_n^n \end{vmatrix} = d_U \cdot f_{n+1}$$

where the functions f_i are local expressions of $\tilde{\omega_i}$. Then we have

$$d_U \cdot (f_1 \cdot c^1 + \cdots + (-1)^n f_{n+1} \cdot c^{n+1}) = 0$$

and

$$d_U \cdot (f_1 \cdot b_j^1 + \cdots + (-1)^n f_{n+1} \cdot b_j^{n+1}) = 0$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Since by definition d_U vanishes on $D_W \cap U$, then on U

$$f_1 \cdot c^1 + \cdots + (-1)^n f_{n+1} \cdot c^{n+1} = 0$$

and

$$f_1 \cdot b_j^1 + \cdots + (-1)^n f_{n+1} \cdot b_j^{n+1} = 0$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. We immediately obtain

$$f_1 \cdot s_1 + \cdots + (-1)^n f_{n+1} \cdot s_{n+1} = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

By definition the scheme $Z_W \cap U$ is given by $(f_1 = 0, \ldots, f_{n+1} = 0)$. Let $P \in U$ be a point not in $\mathrm{supp}(Z_W)$. At least one of the functions f_i can be inverted in a neighbourhood of P, for example let the germ of f_1 be nonzero in P. We have then a relation

$$s_1 = g_2 \cdot s_2 + \cdots + g_{n+1} \cdot s_{n+1}$$

and so we can easily find holomorphic functions h_i such that

$$\Omega_i = h_i \cdot \Omega_1$$

for $i = 2, \ldots, n+1$. Since

$$\mathcal{E}^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\psi} \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E}$$

is injective, then we have

$$\tilde{\Omega}_i = h_i \cdot \tilde{\Omega}_1$$

for $i = 2, \ldots, n+1$. The section $\tilde{\Omega}_1$ is nonzero, otherwise $\Omega_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$ and then also $\omega_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$, but this fact contradicts our hypothesis that $\lambda^n W$ is not trivial.

In particular we have proved that the sheaf $\operatorname{Im}\tilde{\mu}$ has rank one outside Z_W. Furthermore, since it is a subsheaf of the locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}^{(n)}$, then $\operatorname{Im}\tilde{\mu}$ is torsion free. Denote $\operatorname{Im}\tilde{\mu}$ by $\mathcal{L}$.

By definition

$$\operatorname{Im}\mu := \det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{Z_W}.$$

The morphism

$$\alpha\colon \mathcal{E}^{(n)}\to\det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)$$

restricts to a surjective morphism, that we continue to call α,

$$\mathcal{L}\xrightarrow{\alpha}\operatorname{Im}\mu,$$

between two sheaves that are locally free of rank one outside Z_W. The kernel of α is then a torsion subsheaf of $\mathcal{L}$, which is torsion free, hence α gives an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}\cong\operatorname{Im}\mu$.

Since X is normal (actually it is smooth) and Z_W has codimension at least 2, we have that the inclusion

$$\mathcal{E}^{(n)}\supset\mathcal{L}^{\vee\vee}\cong\det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)$$

gives the splitting

$$0\longrightarrow\bigwedge^{n-1}\mathcal{F}\longrightarrow\mathcal{E}^{(n)}\longrightarrow\det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)\longrightarrow 0.$$

Since ξ_{D_W} is the element of $H^1(X,\mathcal{F}^\vee(D_W))$ associated to this extension, we conclude that $\xi_{D_W}=0$.

□

As an easy consequence of the Adjoint Theorem we have the infinitesimal Torelli theorem for primitive varieties with $p_g=q=n+1$; for the notion of primitiveness see [G-A1, Definition 1.2.4], see also our introduction to section 4 of this paper.

Corollary 2.1.8. *Let X be an n-dimensional primitive variety of general type such that $p_g=q=n+1$. If Ω^1_X is generated by global sections then the 1-infinitesimal Torelli theorem holds for X.*

Proof. Take $W=H^0(X,\Omega^1_X)$. Since X is primitive, $\lambda^n W=\langle\omega_1,\dots,\omega_{n+1}\rangle=H^0(X,\omega_X)$. By the hypothesis $W=\operatorname{Ker}\partial_\xi$, we can construct an adjoint form $\omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}}$ and obviously $\omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}}\in\lambda^n W$. Hence the claim holds by Theorem 2.1.7. □

The cases studied in Corollary 2.1.8 do occur.

Corollary 2.1.9. *Let X be a surface of general type such that $p_g=q=3$ and $K_X^2=6$. Then the 1-infinitesimal Torelli theorem holds for X.*

Proof. Indeed a surface of general type such that $p_g=q=3$ and $K_X^2=6$ is the symmetric product of a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 3 by [CCM, Proposition 3.17, (i)]. Hence our proof of Corollary 2.1.8 applies. □

Remark 2.1.10. *Note that for surfaces of general type which are the symmetric product of a hyperelliptic curve of genus 3, the infinitesimal Torelli theorem does not hold by* [OS]. *Indeed $D_{\Omega^1_X}$ exists and it is a rational -2 curve; see:* [CCM, Proposition 3.17, (ii)]. *Hence an infinitesimal Torelli deformation is supported on $D_{\Omega^1_X}$.*

2.2. **An inverse of the Adjoint Theorem.** Let X be a smooth compact complex variety of dimension m and $\mathcal{F}$ a locally free sheaf of rank n. Let $\xi \in H^1(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee)$ be the class associated to the extension (2.1). Fix $W = \langle \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{n+1} \rangle \subset \mathrm{Ker}\,(\partial^1_\xi) \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ a subspace of dimension $n+1$ and take an adjoint form $\omega = \omega_{\xi,W,\mathcal{B}}$ for chosen $s_1, \ldots, s_{n+1} \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ liftings of respectively $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{n+1}$. As above set $|\lambda^n W| = D_W + |M_W|$. Note that by construction $\omega \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D_W))$.

Theorem 2.2.1. *Assume that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_W)) = 1$. If $\xi_{D_W} = 0$ then $[\omega] = 0$.*

Proof. If $n = m = 1$ then [CP][Theorem 1.1.8.] shows that $\xi_{D_W} = 0$ iff $[\omega] = 0$.

Let $(\omega)_0 = D_W + C$ be the decomposition of the adjoint divisor in its fixed component and in its moving one. The first step is to construct a global section

$$\Omega' \in H^0(X, \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E}(-C))$$

which restricts, through the natural map $\bigwedge^n \mathcal{E}(-C) \to \det \mathcal{F}(-C)$, to $d \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}(-C))$, where $(d)_0 = D_W$. Indeed consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F}(-C) & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E}(-C) & \xrightarrow{G_2} & \det \mathcal{F}(-C) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle G_1} & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{d\epsilon} & \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\rho_n} & \det \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle H_1} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_C & \xrightarrow{H_2} & \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_C & \xrightarrow{H_3} & \det \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & &
\end{array}$$

The adjoint form $\omega \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F})$ vanishes when restricted to C. Since $\xi_{D_W} = 0$ there exists a lifting $\Omega \in H^0(X, \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E})$ of ω. Indeed, by (2.9), take a global lifting $c \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}(-D_W))$ of ω. Since $\xi_{D_W} = 0$, c can be lifted to a section $e \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E}^{(n)})$. Define $\Omega := \psi(e)$. By commutativity, $H_3(\Omega|_C) = 0$. Hence there exists $\bar{\mu} \in H^0(X, \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_C)$ such that $H_2(\bar{\mu}) = \Omega|_C$. To construct Ω' we first show that $\delta(\bar{\mu}) = \xi_{D_W}$ where

$$\delta \colon H^0(X, \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_C) \to H^1(X, \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F}(-C)).$$

To do that we consider the cocycle $\{\xi_{\alpha\beta}\}$ which gives ξ with respect to an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of X. For any α there exists $\gamma_\alpha \in \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha)$ such that:

$$\Omega|_{U_\alpha} = \omega_\alpha + \gamma_\alpha \wedge d\epsilon \tag{2.13}$$

where ω_α is obtained by the analogous expression to the one in equation (2.11) but on $\bigwedge^n \mathcal{E}$. A local computation on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ shows that $\omega_\beta - \omega_\alpha = \xi_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) \wedge d\epsilon$, where the notation $\xi_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)$

indicates the natural contraction. Since we have a global lifting of ω, this cocycle must be a coboundary. Hence by (2.13)

$$(\gamma_\beta - \gamma_\alpha) \wedge d\epsilon = \omega_\beta - \omega_\alpha = \xi_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) \wedge d\epsilon,$$

that is $\gamma_\beta - \gamma_\alpha = \xi_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)$. Note that the sections γ_α are local liftings of $\bar{\mu}$. Indeed by the injectivity of H_2, it is enough to show that $(\gamma_\alpha \wedge d\epsilon)|_C = \Omega|_C$, and this is obvious by (2.13) and by the fact that $\omega_\alpha|_C = 0$. Since the morphism

$$\bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F}(-C)(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \to \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$$

is given by multiplication by $c_{\alpha\beta}$, where $c_{\alpha\beta}$ is a local equation of C on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, then the desired expression of the coboundary $\delta(\bar{\mu})$ is given by the cocycle

$$\frac{\gamma_\beta - \gamma_\alpha}{c_{\alpha\beta}} = \frac{\xi_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)}{c_{\alpha\beta}}.$$

By the above local computation, we see that this cocycle gives ξ_{D_W} via the isomorphism

$$H^1(X, \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F}(-C)) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee(D_W)). \tag{2.14}$$

This is easy to prove since ξ_{D_W} is locally given by $\xi_{\alpha\beta} \cdot d_{\alpha\beta}$, where $d_{\alpha\beta}$ is the equation of D_W on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$, so the image of ξ_{D_W} through the isomorphism (2.14) is $\frac{\xi_{\alpha\beta} \cdot d_{\alpha\beta} \cdot c_{\alpha\beta}}{c_{\alpha\beta}} = \frac{\xi_{\alpha\beta}(\omega)}{c_{\alpha\beta}}$, which is exactly $\delta(\bar{\mu})$. Now we use again our hypothesis $\xi_{D_W} = 0$ to write $\delta(\bar{\mu}) = 0$. Then there exists a global section $\mu \in H^0(X, \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F})$ which is a lifting of $\bar{\mu}$. This gives the global section

$$\tilde{\Omega} := \Omega - \mu \wedge d\epsilon \in H^0(X, \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E}).$$

By construction $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a new lifting of ω which now vanishes once restricted to C:

$$\tilde{\Omega}|_C = \Omega|_C - \mu \wedge d\epsilon|_C = \Omega|_C - H_2(\bar{\mu}) = 0.$$

The wanted section $\Omega' \in H^0(X, \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E}(-C))$ is the global section which lifts $\tilde{\Omega}$ and by construction satisfies $\rho_n(G_1(\Omega')) = \omega$ and $G_2(\Omega') = d$.

The second step is to use Ω' to show that $[\omega] = 0$. The global sections $\omega_i := \lambda^n(\eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\eta_i} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{n+1}) \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F})$ generate $\lambda^n W$ and by definition they vanish on D_W, that is there exist global sections $\tilde{\omega}_i \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}(-D_W))$ such that $\omega_i = \tilde{\omega}_i \cdot d$. We consider now the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(-C) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \bar{\mathcal{F}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cdot C} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{d\epsilon} & \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \tag{2.15}$$

The homomorphism β is locally defined by

$$(f_1, \ldots, f_{n+1}) \mapsto (-1)^n f_1 \cdot s_1 + \cdots + f_{n+1} \cdot s_{n+1}$$

and similarly $\rho_1 \circ \beta$ is given by

$$(f_1, \ldots, f_{n+1}) \mapsto (-1)^n f_1 \cdot \eta_1 + \cdots + f_{n+1} \cdot \eta_{n+1}.$$

The homomorphism $\iota \circ \gamma$ is the factorization of this map and it defines $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$. The homomorphism α is defined in the following way: if $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(-C)(U)$ is a local section, then $\tilde{\omega}_i$ are sections of the dual sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(C)$ and, locally on U, α is given by

$$f \mapsto (\tilde{\omega}_1(f), \ldots, \tilde{\omega}_{n+1}(f)).$$

We want to verify that the first square is commutative. If we write locally $\eta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^i \cdot \sigma_j$, and

$$s_i = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j^i \cdot \sigma_j + c^i \cdot d\epsilon,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(\alpha(f)) &= \beta\left(f_U \begin{vmatrix} b_1^2 & \ldots & b_n^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^{n+1} & \ldots & b_n^{n+1} \end{vmatrix} \frac{1}{d_U}, \ldots, f_U \begin{vmatrix} b_1^1 & \ldots & b_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^n & \ldots & b_n^n \end{vmatrix} \frac{1}{d_U} \right) = \\
&= (-1)^n f_U \begin{vmatrix} b_1^2 & \ldots & b_n^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^{n+1} & \ldots & b_n^{n+1} \end{vmatrix} \frac{1}{d_U} s_1 + \cdots + f_U \begin{vmatrix} b_1^1 & \ldots & b_n^1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1^n & \ldots & b_n^n \end{vmatrix} \frac{1}{d_U} s_{n+1} = \\
&= f_U \begin{vmatrix} b_1^1 & \ldots & b_n^1 & c^1 \\ b_1^2 & \ldots & b_n^2 & c^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_1^{n+1} & \ldots & b_n^{n+1} & c^{n+1} \end{vmatrix} \frac{1}{d_U} d\epsilon = \\
&= (f_U \cdot c_U) d\epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where f_U and d_U are local holomorphic functions which represent the sections f and d respectively. The first equality uses the fact that the determinants appearing in the first line are the local equations of the sections ω_i (see also the proof of Theorem 2.1.7); the last equality comes from the fact that the determinant in the second to last line is the local equation of ω, and $\omega = d \cdot c$. To dualize diagram (2.15) we recall the sheaves isomorphisms $\mathcal{F}^\vee \cong \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F}(-C - D_W)$ and $\mathcal{E}^\vee \cong \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E}(-C - D_W)$. Moreover we also recall the isomorphism $W^\vee \cong \bigwedge^n W$, given by

$$\eta^i \mapsto \eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\eta_i} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{n+1}$$

where $\eta^1, \ldots, \eta^{n+1}$ is the basis of $W^\vee$ dual to the basis $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{n+1}$ of W. Define

$$e_i := \eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\eta_i} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{n+1}.$$

Now we dualize (2.15):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{\mathcal{F}}^\vee & \xrightarrow{\gamma^\vee} & \bigwedge^n W \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\alpha^\vee} & \det \mathcal{F}(-D_W) & & \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \beta^\vee} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \cdot C} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F}(-C - D_W) & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E}(-C - D_W) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array} \tag{2.16}$$

Here $\alpha^\vee$ is the evaluation map given by the global sections $\tilde{\omega}_i$, not necessarily surjective. Nevertheless we tensor by $\mathcal{O}_X(D_W)$ and we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigwedge^n W \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_W)) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\alpha^\vee}} & H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}) \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \overline{\beta^\vee}} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \cdot C} \\ H^0(X, \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E}(-C)) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_W)). \end{array}$$

The section $\Omega' \in H^0(X, \bigwedge^n \mathcal{E}(-C))$ constructed in the first part of the proof gives in $H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F})$ the adjoint ω. By commutativity

$$\omega = \overline{\alpha^\vee}(\overline{\beta^\vee}(\Omega')).$$

By our hypothesis $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_W)) = 1$, the section d is a basis of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_W))$, so $(e_1 \otimes d, \ldots, e_{n+1} \otimes d)$ is a basis of $\bigwedge^n W \otimes H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_W))$. We have then

$$\overline{\beta^\vee}(\Omega') = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i \cdot e_i \otimes d$$

where $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\omega = \overline{\alpha^\vee}(\overline{\beta^\vee}(\Omega')) = \overline{\alpha^\vee}(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i \cdot e_i \otimes d) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i \cdot \tilde{\omega}_i \cdot d = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} c_i \cdot \omega_i,$$

and hence $[\omega] = 0$. □

3. An infinitesimal Torelli-type theorem

Theorem 2.1.7 can be used to show splitting criteria for extension classes of locally free sheaves. To this aim we consider a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of rank n over an m-dimensional smooth variety X. Naturally associated to $\mathcal{F}$ there is the invertible sheaf $\det \mathcal{F}$ and the natural homomorphism:

$$\lambda^n \colon \bigwedge^n H^0(X, \mathcal{F}) \to H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}). \tag{3.1}$$

We denote by $\lambda^n H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$ its image. Consider the linear system $\mathbb{P}(\lambda^n H^0(X, \mathcal{F}))$ and recall that $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ is its fixed component and $|M_{\mathcal{F}}|$ is its associated mobile linear system. Moreover we denote by $|\det \mathcal{F}|$ the linear system associated to $\det \mathcal{F}$ and by $D_{\det \mathcal{F}}$, $M_{\det \mathcal{F}}$ respectively its fixed and its movable part; that is: $|\det \mathcal{F}| = D_{\det \mathcal{F}} + |M_{\det \mathcal{F}}|$. Finally note that $D_{\det \mathcal{F}}$ is a sub-divisor of $D_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Definition 3.0.2. An *adjoint quadric* for ω is a quadric in $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F})^\vee)$ of the form

$$\omega^2 = \sum L_i \cdot \omega_i,$$

where ω is a ξ-adjoint of $W \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$, $\omega_i := \lambda^n(\eta_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\eta_i} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{n+1}) \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F})$ and $L_i \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F})$.

Obviously an adjoint quadric has rank less then or equal to $2n + 3$, and, if it exists, it is constructed by the extension class ξ.

Theorem 3.0.3. *Let X be an m-dimensional smooth variety. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally free sheaf of rank n such that $h^0(X,\mathcal{F}) \geq n+1$, let $\xi \in H^1(X,\mathcal{F}^\vee)$ and let Y be the schematic image of $\phi_{|M_{\det \mathcal{F}|}}\colon X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})^\vee)$. If ξ is such that $\partial_\xi^n(\omega) = 0$, where ω is an adjoint form associated to an $n+1$-dimensional subspace $W \subset \operatorname{Ker}\partial_\xi \subset H^0(X,\mathcal{F})$, then $[\omega] = 0$, providing that there are no ω-adjoint quadrics.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\eta_1,\dots,\eta_{n+1}\}$ be a basis of W. Set ω_i for $i = 1,\dots,n+1$ as above and denote by $\tilde{\omega}_i \in H^0(\det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{Z_W})$ the corresponding sections via $0 \to H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{Z_W}) \to H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})$. Recall that $\lambda^n W := \langle\omega_1,\dots,\omega_{n+1}\rangle \subset H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F})$ is the vector space generated by the sections ω_i. The standard evaluation map $\bigwedge^n W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \to \det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{Z_W}$ given by $\tilde{\omega}_1,\dots,\tilde{\omega}_{n+1}$ results in the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^n W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{Z_W} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.2}$$

which is associated to the class $\xi' \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(\det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{Z_W},\mathcal{K})$. This sequence fits into the following commutative diagram (cf. (2.16))

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^n W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{Z_W} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

where f is the map given by the contraction by the sections $(-1)^{n+1-i}s_i$, for $i = 1,\dots,n+1$, and g is given by the global section $\sigma \in H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{Z_W})$ corresponding to the adjoint ω. We have the standard factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^n W\otimes\mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{Z_W} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

where the sequence in the middle is associated to the class $\xi'' \in H^1(X,\mathcal{K})$ which is the image of $\xi \in H^1(X,\mathcal{F}^\vee)$ through the map $H^1(X,\mathcal{F}^\vee) \to H^1(X,\mathcal{K})$. In particular we obtain the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X,\det\mathcal{F}(-D_W)\otimes\mathcal{I}_{Z_W}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X,\mathcal{K}) \\ \uparrow & & \| \\ H^0(X,\mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X,\mathcal{K}). \end{array} \tag{3.5}$$

By commutativity we immediately have that the image of σ through the coboundary map $H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}(-D_W) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_W}) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{K})$ is ξ''. Tensoring by $\det \mathcal{F}$, the map $\mathcal{F}^\vee \to \mathcal{K}$ gives

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \det \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} \otimes \det \mathcal{F} \\ \| & \overset{\Gamma}{\nearrow} & \\ \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F} & & \end{array} \tag{3.6}$$

and, since $\xi \cdot \omega \in H^1(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \det \mathcal{F})$ is sent to $\xi'' \cdot \omega \in H^1(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes \det \mathcal{F})$, we have that

$$H^1(\Gamma)(\xi \cup \omega) = \xi'' \cdot \omega, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\xi \cup \omega$ is the cup product.

By hypothesis $\partial^n_\xi(\omega) = \xi \cup \omega = 0 \in H^1(X, \bigwedge^{n-1} \mathcal{F})$, so also $\xi'' \cdot \omega = 0 \in H^1(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes \det \mathcal{F})$, hence the global section $\sigma \cdot \omega \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}(-D_W) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_W} \otimes \det \mathcal{F})$ is in the kernel of the coboundary map $H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}(-D_W) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_W} \otimes \det \mathcal{F}) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{K} \otimes \det \mathcal{F})$ associated to the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes \det \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \bigwedge^n W \otimes \det \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \det \mathcal{F}(-D_W) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{Z_W} \otimes \det \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.8}$$

This occurs iff there exist $L^\sigma_i \in H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F})$, $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ such that

$$\sigma \cdot \omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} L^\sigma_i \cdot \tilde{\omega}_i. \tag{3.9}$$

This relation gives the following relation in $H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2})$:

$$\omega \cdot \omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} L^\sigma_i \cdot \omega_i. \tag{3.10}$$

Assume now that the adjoint form ω is not in the vector space $\lambda^n W$. Then the equation (3.10) gives an adjoint quadric. By contradiction the claim follows. □

Corollary 3.0.4. *Under the above hypotheses, ξ is a supported deformation on D_W; that is, ξ_{D_W} is trivial.*

Proof. The claim follows by Theorem 3.0.3 and by Theorem 2.1.7. □

The following is Theorem B of the introduction:

Corollary 3.0.5. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.0.3, if we further assume that W is generic, it follows that ξ is a deformation supported on $D_\mathcal{F}$, that is $\xi_{D_\mathcal{F}}$ is trivial.*

Proof. By [PZ, Proposition 3.1.6], if W is a generic $n+1$-dimensional subspace of $H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$, we have that $D_\mathcal{F} = D_W$. Then the claim follows by Corollary 3.0.4. □

Note that there are cases where our hypothesis applies easily:

Corollary 3.0.6. *Let X be an m-dimensional smooth variety. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally free sheaf of rank n. Assume that $\phi_{|M_{\det \mathcal{F}}|} \colon X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, \det \mathcal{F})^\vee)$ is a non trivial rational map such that its schematic image is a complete intersection of hypersurfaces of degree > 2. Let $\xi \in$*

$H^1(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee)$. If $\partial_\xi = 0$ and $\partial_\xi^n(\omega) = 0$ where ω is an adjoint form associated to a generic $n+1$-dimensional subspace $W \subset H^0(X, \mathcal{F})$, then ξ is a deformation supported on $D_{\mathcal{F}}$. In particular if $D_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ then $\xi = 0$.

Proof. The claim follows directly by Corollary 3.0.5. □

Corollary 3.0.7. *Let X be an n-dimensional variety of general type with irregularity $\geq n+1$ and such that its cotangent sheaf is generated by global sections. Suppose also that there are no adjoint quadrics containing the canonical image of X. Then the infinitesimal Torelli theorem holds for X. In particular it holds if there are no quadrics of rank less then or equal to $2n+3$ passing through the canonical image of X.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.0.5, any $\xi \in H^1(X, \Theta_X)$ such that $\partial_\xi = 0$ and $\partial_\xi^n(\omega) = 0$, where ω is an adjoint form associated to a generic $n+1$-dimensional subspace $W \subset H^0(X, \Omega^1_X)$, is supported on the branch locus of the Albanese morphism and, since we have assumed it to be trivial, then the trivial infinitesimal deformation is the only possible case. □

Remark 3.0.8. As a typical application we obtain infinitesimal Torelli for a smooth divisor X of an $n+1$-dimensional Abelian variety A such that $p_g(X) = n+2$; for explicit examples consider the case of a smooth surface X in polarization $(1,1,2)$ in an abelian 3-fold A. The invariants of X are $p_g(X) = 4$, $q(X) = 3$ and $K^2 = 12$. The canonical map is in general a birational morphism onto a surface of degree 12. See [CS, Theorem 6.4].

4. Families with birational fibers

Theorem 3.0.3 provides a criterion to understand which families of irregular varieties of general type have birational fibers. First we recall some basic definitions.

4.1. **Families of morphisms.** A *family* of n-dimensional varieties is a flat smooth proper morphism $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ such that the *fiber* $X_b := \pi^{-1}(b)$ over a point $b \in B$ has dimension n. The variety B is called *base of the family*. We only assume that B is smooth connected and analytic. We restrict our study to the case where X_b is an irregular variety of general type. We recall that any irregular variety X comes equipped with its Albanese variety $\mathrm{Alb}(X)$ and its Albanese morphism $\mathrm{alb}(X) : X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$.

A *fibration* is a surjective proper flat morphism $f\colon X \to Z$ with connected fibers between the smooth varieties X and Z. A fibration $f\colon X \to Z$ is *irregular* if Z is an irregular variety.

We recall that a smooth irregular variety X is said to be of *maximal Albanese dimension* if $\dim \mathrm{alb}(X) = \dim X$. If $\dim \mathrm{alb}(X) = \dim X$ and $\mathrm{alb}\colon X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ is *not surjective*, that is $q(X) > \dim X$, X is said to be of *Albanese general type*. A fibration $f\colon X \to Z$ is called a *higher irrational pencil* if Z is of Albanese general type. An irregular variety X is said to be *primitive* if it does not admit any higher irrational pencil; see: [G-A1, Definition 1.2.4]. Note that irregular fibrations (resp. higher irrational pencils) are higher-dimensional analogous to fibrations over non-rational curves (resp. curves of genus $g \geq 2$).

To study a family $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ of irregular varieties it is natural to consider the case where it comes equipped with a family $p\colon \mathcal{A} \to B$ of Abelian varieties; that is, the fiber $A_b := p^{-1}(b)$ is an Abelian variety of dimension $a > 0$.

Definition 4.1.1. Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a family of irregular varieties of general type and $p : \mathcal{A} \to B$ a family of Abelian varieties. A morphism $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ will be called a *family of Albanese type* over B if:

(i) Φ fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{A} \\ & {\scriptstyle\pi}\searrow \quad \swarrow{\scriptstyle p} & \\ & B. & \end{array}$$

(ii) The induced map $\phi_b\colon X_b \to A_b$ of Φ on X_b is birational onto its image Y_b.
(iii) The cycle Y_b generates the fiber A_b as a group.

See: [PZ, Definition 1.1.1.]. We remark that $a > n$ (cf. see [Ii, p.311 and Corollary to Theorem 10.12,(i)]). We shall say that two families over B, $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\Psi : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{A}$, of Albanese type have the same *image* if it is true fiberwise, that is $\phi_b(X_b) = \psi_b(Y_b)$ for every $b \in B$.

Base change. Albanese type families have a good behaviour under base change. In fact let $\mu : B' \to B$ be a base change, then $\mu^*(\Phi) = \Phi \times \mathrm{id} : \mathcal{X} \times_B B' \to \mathcal{A} \times_B B'$ is an Albanese type family over B'. In particular for a connected subvariety $C \hookrightarrow B$ the base change of $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ to C is well defined and it will be denoted by $\Phi_C\colon \mathcal{X}_C \to \mathcal{A}_C$.

Translated family. If $s\colon B \to \mathcal{A}$ is a section of $p : \mathcal{A} \to B$, we define the translated family $\Phi_s : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ of Φ by the formula:

$$\Phi_s(x) = \Phi(x) + s(\pi(x)).$$

Notice that $\Phi_s : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a family of Albanese type. Two families Φ and Ψ over B are said to be *translation equivalent* if there exists a section σ of p such that the *images* of Φ_σ and Ψ (fiberwise) coincide.

We are interested in a condition that guarantees that, up to restriction, the fibers of the restricted family are birationally equivalent. For the reader's convenience we recall the following definition given in [PZ, definition 1.1.2]:

Definition 4.1.2. Two families of Albanese type $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$, $\Phi'\colon \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{A}'$ over, respectively, B and B' will be said *locally equivalent*, if there exist an open set $U \subset B$ an open set $U' \subset B'$ and a biregular map $\mu\colon U' \to U := \mu(U') \subset B$ such that the pull-back families $\mu^*(\Phi_U)$ and $\Phi'_{U'}$ are translation equivalent. We will say that Φ is *trivial* if $\mathcal{X} = X \times B$, $\mathcal{A} = A \times B$ and $\pi_A(\Phi(X_b)) = \pi_A(\Phi(X_{b_0}))$ for all b where $\pi_A\colon A \times B \to A$ is the natural projection.

Polarization. We recall that a polarized variety is a couple (X, H) where X is a variety and H is a big and nef divisor on it. The Albanese type family Φ provides the fibers A_b with a natural polarization. Letting ω a Chern form of the canonical divisor K_{X_b}, the Hermitian form of the polarization Ξ_b is defined on $H^{1,0}(A_b)$ by:

$$\Xi_b(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \int_{X_b} \phi_b^* \eta_1 \wedge \phi_b^* \overline{\eta_2} \wedge \omega^{n-1}. \tag{4.1}$$

Notice that, in this way, $p : \mathcal{A} \to B$ becomes a family of *polarized* Abelian varieties. There is then a suitable moduli variety $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ parameterizing polarized Abelian variety of dimension a, and a holomorphic map, called the period map, $P\colon B \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ defined by $P(b) = (A_b, \Xi_b)$. Finally we

remark that if $\mathcal{P}(B)$ is a point, then, up to shrinking B, $p : \mathcal{A} \to B$ is equivalent to the trivial family, $\mathcal{A} \to A \times B$ where A is the fixed Abelian variety corresponding to $\mathcal{P}(B)$.

Example. The standard example of Albanese type family is given by a family $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to B$ with a section $s\colon B \to \mathcal{X}$. Indeed by $s\colon B \to \mathcal{X}$ we have a family $p\colon \mathrm{Alb}(\mathcal{X}) \to B$ whose fiber is $p^{-1}(b) = \mathrm{Alb}(X_b)$; the section gives a family $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathrm{Alb}(\mathcal{X})$ with fiber:

$$\mathrm{alb}(X_b) : X_b \to \mathrm{Alb}(X_b).$$

If we also assume that $\phi_b = \mathrm{alb}(X_b)$ has degree 1 onto the image then $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathrm{Alb}(\mathcal{X})$ is an Albanese type family. We will call $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathrm{Alb}(\mathcal{X})$ an *Albanese family.*

We will use the following:

Proposition 4.1.3. *An Albanese type family $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ is locally equivalent to a trivial family if and only if the fibers X_b are birationally equivalent.*

Proof. See [PZ, Proposition 1.1.3]. □

4.2. **Families with liftability conditions.** To find conditions which force the fibers of a family $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ to be birationally equivalent, it is natural to understand conditions on Albanese type families whose associated family of Abelian varieties is trivial. The easiest condition to think of is given by the condition of liftability for any 1-form.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an Albanese type family such that for every $b \in B$ the map $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}}) \to H^0(X_b, \Omega^1_{X_b})$ is surjective. Then up to shrinking B the fibers of $p\colon \mathcal{A} \to B$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $\mu_b \in \mathrm{Ext}^1(\Omega^1_{A_b}, \mathcal{O}_{A_b})$ be the class given by the family $p\colon \mathcal{A} \to B$, that is the class of the following extension:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{A_b} \to \Omega^1_{\mathcal{A}|A_b} \to \Omega^1_{A_b} \to 0.$$

Since ϕ_b is flat we have the following sequence

$$0 \to \phi_b^*\mathcal{O}_{A_b} \to \phi_b^*\Omega^1_{\mathcal{A}|A_b} \to \phi_b^*\Omega^1_{A_b} \to 0$$

which fits into the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \phi_b^*\mathcal{O}_{A_b} & \longrightarrow & \phi_b^*\Omega^1_{\mathcal{A}|A_b} & \longrightarrow & \phi_b^*\Omega^1_{A_b} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X_b} & \longrightarrow & \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}|X_b} & \longrightarrow & \Omega^1_{X_b} & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

In cohomology we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X_b, \phi_b^*\Omega^1_{A_b}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_b, \mathcal{O}_{X_b}) \\ \downarrow & & \| \\ H^0(X_b, \Omega^1_{X_b}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_b, \mathcal{O}_{X_b}) \end{array}$$

so, by commutativity and by the hypothesis $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_b, \Omega^1_{X_b})$, we immediately obtain $H^0(X_b, \phi_b^*\Omega^1_{\mathcal{A}|A_b}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_b, \phi_b^*\Omega^1_{A_b})$ and hence the coboundary $\partial_{\mu_b}\colon H^0(A_b, \Omega^1_{A_b}) \to H^1(A_b, \mathcal{O}_{A_b})$ is trivial.

Then by cf. [CP, Page 78] we conclude. □

For an Albanese type family $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_b, \Omega^1_{X_b})$ we can say more. Actually up to shrinking B it is trivial to show that for every $b \in B$ it holds that $\mathrm{Alb}(X_b) = A$ where A is a *fixed* Abelian variety. For later reference we state:

Corollary 4.2.2. *Let $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an Albanese type family such that $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_b, \Omega^1_{X_b})$ where $b \in B$. Then for every $b \in B$ there exists an open neighbourhood U such that the restricted family $\Phi_U\colon \mathcal{X}_U \to \mathcal{A}_U$ is locally equivalent to $\Psi\colon \mathcal{X}_U \to \widehat{A} \times U$, where $\widehat{A}$ is an Abelian variety. Moreover there exists another Abelian variety A such that for every $b \in U$ it holds that $A = \mathrm{Alb}(X_b)$ and the natural morphism $A \to \widehat{A}$ gives a factorization of $\Psi\colon \mathcal{X}_U \to \widehat{A} \times U$ via $\mathrm{alb}(\mathcal{X}_U)\colon \mathcal{X}_U \to A \times U$.*

We recall a definition given in the introduction of this paper and suitable to study Torelli type problems for irregular varieties.

Definition 4.2.3. We say that a family $f\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ of relative dimension n satisfies extremal liftability conditions over B if

(i) $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_b, \Omega^1_{X_b})$;
(ii) $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega^n_{\mathcal{X}}) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_b, \Omega^n_{X_b})$.

This definition means that all the 1-forms and all the n-forms of the fibers are obtained by restriction from the family $\mathcal{X}$. Comparing the two conditions with the hypotheses of Theorem 3.0.3, they ensure that $\partial_\xi = 0$ and $\partial^n_\xi = 0$.

Remark 4.2.4. *Let X be a smooth variety such that $\mathrm{alb}(X)\colon X \to \mathrm{Alb}(X)$ has degree 1. Let $f\colon X \longrightarrow Z$ be a fibration of relative dimension n such that the general fiber $f^{-1}(y) = X_y$ is smooth of general type and with irregularity $q \geq n+1$. By rigidity of Abelian subvarieties, the image of the map $Alb(X_y) \longrightarrow Alb(X)$ is a (translate of a) fixed abelian variety A. If, moreover, we have surjections $H^0(X, \Omega^1_X) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X_y, \Omega^1_{X_y})$ and $H^0(X, \Omega^n_X) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(X, \Omega^n_{X_y})$, then $A = Alb(X_y)$ and taking a sufficiently small polydisk around any smooth fibre of f we obtain a family which satisfies extremal liftability conditions.*

4.3. **The theorem.** Our main theorem is based on the Volumetric Theorem; see [PZ, Theorem 1.5.3]:

Theorem 4.3.1. *Let $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ be an Albanese type family such that $p\colon \mathcal{A} \to B$ has fibers isomorphic to a fixed Abelian variety A. Let $W \subset H^0(A, \Omega^1_A)$ be a generic $n+1$-dimensional subspace and $W_b \subset H^0(X_b, \Omega^1_{X_b})$ its pull-back over the fiber X_b. Assume that for every point $b \in B$ it holds that $\omega_{\xi_b, W_b, \mathcal{B}_b} \in \lambda^n W_b$ where $\xi_b \in H^1(X_b, \Theta_{X_b})$ is the class given on X_b by $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$, then the fibers of $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ are birational.*

Proof. See [PZ, Theorem 1.5.3]. □

The following is our main result. It is a slightly more general version of Theorem C stated in the introduction. Note that it applies also to the case where the canonical linear system of a general fiber has fixed divisorial components and non trivial base locus.

Theorem 4.3.2. *Let $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a family of Albanese type whose associated family of n-dimensional irregular varieties $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ satisfies extremal liftability conditions. Assume that*

there are no adjoint quadrics through the canonical image of any fiber X of $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$. Then the fibers of $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ are birational.

Proof. Since our claim is local in the analytic category, up to base change, we can assume that B is a 1-dimensional disk and that $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ has a section. More precisely we take two points in B and a curve C connecting them, then make a base change from B to C. Therefore in the rest of this proof B is a curve. By proposition 4.2.1 $p\colon \mathcal{A} \to B$ is trivial. Moreover the Albanese family $\mathrm{alb}(\mathcal{X})\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathrm{Alb}(\mathcal{X})$ exists and by proposition 4.1.3, our claim is equivalent to show that the Albanese family $\mathrm{alb}(\mathcal{X})\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathrm{Alb}(\mathcal{X})$ is locally equivalent to the trivial family. By Corollary 4.2.2 we can assume that $\mathrm{Alb}(\mathcal{X}) = A \times B$ too.

We denote by $\xi_b \in H^1(X_b, \Theta_{X_b})$ the class associated to the infinitesimal deformation of X_b induced by $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$. First we assume that $q > n+1$.

Let $\mathcal{B} := \{dz_1, \dots, dz_{n+1}\}$ be a basis of an $n+1$-dimensional generic subspace W of $H^0(A, \Omega^1_A)$. For every $b \in B$ let $\eta_i(b) := \mathrm{alb}(X_b)^\star dz_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. By standard theory of the Albanese morphism it holds that $\mathcal{B}_b := \{\eta_1(b), \dots, \eta_{n+1}(b)\}$ is a basis of the pull-back W_b of W inside $H^0(X_b, \Omega^1_{X_b})$. Let $\omega_i(b) := \lambda^n(\eta_1(b) \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\eta_i(b)} \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_{n+1}(b))$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. Since $\Phi\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a family of Albanese type, $\dim \lambda^n W_b \geq 1$, actually if $q > n+1$ by [PZ, Theorem 1.3.3] it follows that $\lambda^n W_b$ has dimension $n+1$, and we can write: $\lambda^n W_b = \langle \omega_1(b), \dots, \omega_{n+1}(b) \rangle$. Let $\omega_b := \omega_{\xi_b, W_b, \mathcal{B}_b}$ be an adjoint image of W_b.

By Theorem 3.0.3 it follows that $\omega_b \in \lambda^n W_b$. By Theorem 4.3.1 we conclude. □

The above theorem gives, in particular, an answer to the generic Torelli problem if the fibers of $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ are smooth minimal with unique minimal model. Indeed we have:

Corollary 4.3.3. *Let $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ be a family of n-dimensional irregular varieties which satisfies extremal liftability conditions. Assume that every fiber X is minimal, it has a unique minimal model and its Albanese morphism has degree 1. If there are no adjoint quadrics containing the canonical image of X, then the generic Torelli theorem holds for $\pi\colon \mathcal{X} \to B$ (assuming that the Kodaira-Spencer map is generically injective). In particular the claim holds if no quadric of rank $\leq 2n+3$ contains the canonical image of X.*

Proof. Since the fiber X has a unique minimal model the claim follows directly by Theorem 4.3.2. □

4.4. **Examples.** As a very simple exercise on our theory, the reader can prove the infinitesimal Torelli theorem for the product X of two non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 3 or a general curve of genus 4 using Corollary 3.0.4 and without assuming its validity in the case of curves.

Theorem C, and consequently Corollary 4.3.3, applies directly to the families whose fiber X has canonical map *which is not* an isomorphism and X is an irregular variety such that its canonical image Y is a (possibly very singular) hypersurface of degree > 2 or a (possibly very singular) complete intersection of hypersurfaces of degree > 2: see also our remark 3.0.8. Many of these examples are not well studied in the literature.

As far as other possible examples are concerned, the class of minimal irregular surfaces with very ample and primitive canonical bundle should be worthy of study. Indeed we remind the reader that the space $\mathcal{Q}_{k,n}$ of quadrics of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ of rank $\leq k$ has dimension $k(n-k+1) + \binom{k+1}{2} - 1$, and letting $n = p_g(S) - 1$, by Riemann-Roch theorem the dimension of the vector space of quadrics containing $S = Y$ is $h^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{S/\mathbb{P}^n}(2)) = \binom{n+2}{2} - K_S^2 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Now

to apply our theory in the case $n = 2$ we have to take $k = 2n + 3 = 7$; hence, imposing the natural condition $K_S^2 + \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 7n - 15$, it is at least reasonable, by the obvious dimensional computation, to expect that, for such a generic irregular surface, $\mathcal{Q}_{7,n}$ is a direct summand of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{S/\mathbb{P}^n}(2))$. Then Theorem C applies to give generic Torelli theorem for such irregular surfaces of general type (with Albanese map of degree 1 and irregularity ≥ 4).

Clearly if X is a variety such that there exists a divisor D with $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D)) \geq 2$ and $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - D)) \geq 2$ then the usual argument of the Petri map for curves gives a quadric of rank 3 or 4 containing the canonical image. It is also easy to construct varieties such that this D exists. Nevertheless our theorem requires that no *adjoint quadrics* exist, so it can happen that those low rank quadrics obtained by Petri-like arguments are not adjoint quadrics. We think that the geometry of 1-forms and of the quadrics of low rank through the canonical image is an interesting question as the problem of finding conditions such that $\mathcal{Q}_{7,n} \cap H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{S/\mathbb{P}^n}(2)) = \{0\}$.

References

[An] A. Andreotti, *On a theorem of Torelli*, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 801–828.

[BC] I. Bauer, F. Catanese, *Symmetry and variations of Hodge Structures*, Asian J. Math. 8 (2004), no. 2, 363–390.

[BGN] M. Á. Barja, V. González-Alonso, J. C. Naranjo, *Xiao's conjecture for general fibred surfaces*, arXiv:1401.7502, (2014).

[Ca1] F. Catanese, *Infinitesimal Torelli theorems and counterexamples to Torelli problems*, Topics in transcendental algebraic geometry (Princeton, N.J., 1981/1982), 143–156, Ann. of Math. Stud., 106, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, (1984).

[Ca2] F. Catanese, *Moduli and classification of irregular Kaehler manifolds (and algebraic varieties) with Albanese general type fibrations*, Invent. Math. 104 (1991), no. 2, 263–289.

[CCM] F. Catanese, C. Ciliberto, M. Mendes Lopes, *On the classification of irregular surfaces of general type with nonbirational bicanonical map*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 350 (1998), no. 1, 275308.

[CS] F. Catanese, F-O Schreyer, *Canonical projections of irregular algebraic surfaces*, Algebraic geometry, 79116, de Gruyter, Berlin, (2002).

[CMP] J. Carlson, S. Müller-Stach, C. Peters, *Period Mappings and Period Domains*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 85. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2003).

[CNP] A. Collino, J. C. Naranjo, G. P. Pirola, *The Fano normal function*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 98 (2012), no. 3, 346–366.

[Co] D. A. Cox, *Generic Torelli and infinitesimal variation of Hodge structure*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), 235–246, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 46, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1987).

[Cod] G. Codogni, *Satake compactifications, Lattices and Schottky problem*, Ph.D. Thesis, (2013).

[CP] A. Collino, G. P. Pirola, *The Griffiths infinitesimal invariant for a curve in its Jacobian*, Duke Math. J. 78 (1995), no. 1, 59–88.

[Do] R. Donagi, *Generic Torelli and variational Schottky*, Topics in transcendental algebraic geometry (Princeton, N.J., 1981/1982), 239–258, Ann. of Math. Stud., 106, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, (1984).

[Fl] H. Flenner, *The infinitesimal Torelli problem for zero sets of sections of vector bundles*, Math. Z. 193 (1986), no. 2, 307–322.

[G-A1] V. González-Alonso, *Hodge numbers of irregular varieties and fibrations*, Ph.D. Thesis, (2013).

[G-A2] V. González-Alonso, *On deformations of curves supported on rigid divisors*, arXiv:1401.7466, (2014).

[Gr1] M. L. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties*, J. Differential Geom. 19 (1984), 125–171.

[Gr2] M. L. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties II*, J. Differential Geom. 20 (1984), 279–289.

[Gr3] M. L. Green, *The period map for hypersurface sections of high degree of an arbitrary variety*, Compositio Math. 55 (1985), 135–156.

[Gri1] P. Griffiths, *Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds I,II*, Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968), 568–626, 805–865.

[Gri2] P. Griffiths, *Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds III. Some global differential-geometric properties of the period mapping*, Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 38 (1970), 125–180.

[GS] P. Griffiths, W. Schmid, *Recent developments in Hodge theory: a discussion of techniques and results*, Discrete subgroups of Lie groups and applications to moduli (Internat. Colloq., Bombay, 1973), pp. 31–127. Oxford Univ. Press, Bombay, (1975).

[GZ] U. Garra, F. Zucconi, *Very Ampleness and the Infinitesimal Torelli Problem*, Math. Z. 260 (2008), no. 1, 31–46.

[KM] K. Kodaira, J. Morrow, *Complex Manifolds*, Holt-Rinehart-Winston, New York, (1971).

[Ku] M. Kuranishi, *New proof for the existence of locally complete families of complex structures*, 1965 Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis (Minneapolis, 1964) pp. 142–154 Springer, Berlin.

[Ii] S. Itaka, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer Verlag New York, Heidelberg, (1982).

[LPW] D. Lieberman, C. Peters, R. Wilsker, *A Theorem of Local-Torelli Type*, Math. Ann. 231 (1977), 39–45.

[OS] F. Oort, J. Steenbrink, *The local Torelli problem for algebraic curves*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979, pp. 157–204, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn–Germantown, Md., (1980).

[Pe] C. Peters, *Some Remarks About Reider's Article "Infinitesimal Torelli Theorem for certain irregular surfaces of general type"*, Math. Ann. 281 (1988), 315–324.

[PR] G. P. Pirola, C. Rizzi, *Infinitesimal invariant and vector bundles*, Nagoya Math. J., 186 (2007), 95–118.

[PZ] G. P. Pirola, F. Zucconi, *Variations of the Albanese morphisms*, J. Algebraic Geom. 12 (2003), no. 3, 535–572.

[Ra] E. Raviolo, *Some geometric applications of the theory of variations of Hodge structures*, Ph.D. Thesis.

[Re1] I. Reider, *Infinitesimal Torelli Theorem for certain irregular surfaces of general type*, Math. Ann. 280 (1988), 285–302.

[Re2] I. Reider, *Nonabelian Jacobian of projective surfaces. Geometry and representation theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2072. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[To] R. Torelli, *Sulle varietà di Jacobi, I, II*, Rendiconti R. Accad. dei Lincei 22-2 (1913), 98–103, 437–441.

[Vo1] C. Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry, I.* Translated from the French by Leila Schneps. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 76. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[Vo2] C. Voisin, *Hodge theory and complex algebraic geometry, II.* Translated from the French by Leila Schneps. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 77. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[We] A. Weil, *Zum Beweis des Torellischen Satzes*, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl. IIa (1957), 32–53.

D.I.M.I., the University of Udine, Udine, 33100 Italy, rizzi.luca@spes.uniud.it

D.I.M.I., the University of Udine, Udine, 33100 Italy, Francesco.Zucconi@dimi.uniud.it